## Solutions to exercise sheet 6

Sex, Ageing and Foraging Theory

## Exercise 1: Competition for renewable resources among relatives

a. Solving for  $\hat{n}(x)$  such that

$$\left. \frac{dn}{dt} \right|_{n=\hat{n}(x)} = 0,\tag{1}$$

we obtain equilibrium resource density,

$$\hat{n}(x) = \left(1 - \frac{n_{\rm c} x}{r}\right) K .$$
<sup>(2)</sup>

b. Substituting  $\hat{n}(y_r)$  from 1a above into the fitness function (eq. (3) from ex sheet 6 together with the cost eq. (4)), we find that fitness reads as

$$w(y, y_{\rm r}, x) = y \left( 1 - \frac{n_{\rm c} y_{\rm r}}{r} \right) K - \frac{c_0}{2} y^2 .$$
(3)

Differentiating this fitness function according to the given selection gradient (eq. (5) in ex sheet 5), we obtain

$$s(x) = \left(1 - \frac{n_{c}x}{r}\right)K - c_{0}x - R_{2}\frac{n_{c}x}{r}K.$$
(4)

c. Solving for  $x^*$  such that  $s(x^*) = 0$ , we find that the optimal strategy  $x^*$  can be written as

$$x^* = x_{\rm MSY} \frac{2Kn_{\rm c}}{c_0 r + Kn_{\rm c}(1+R_2)} , \qquad (5)$$

where

$$x_{\rm MSY} = \frac{1}{n_{\rm c}} \frac{r}{2} \tag{6}$$

is the foraging effort that lead to maximum sustainable yield. Eq. (5) reveals that the optimal strategy  $x^*$  decreases with relatedness,  $R_2$ , i.e. individuals evolve to forage less when they do so with relatives. In particular, even in the absence of foraging cost ( $c_0 = 0$ ), individuals avoid over-exploitation when they forage with monozygotic twins (i.e.  $x^* = x_{MSY}$  when  $R_2 = 1$ ).

## **Exercise 2: Risk-sensitive foraging**

a. See the table below.

Payoff, $\pi_i$	Low condition	High condition
	$f_{ m L}$	$f_{ m H}$
0	0.0	0.0
1	0.9	2.1
2	3.2	3.3

- b. In high condition, the fecundity gain from a payoff of 1 to 2 is less than the loss from a payoff of 1 to 0. Selection should therefore favour to avoid risk in high condition individuals (i.e.  $x_{\rm H} \rightarrow 0$ ). By contrast, the fecundity gain from a payoff of 1 to 2 when in low condition is greater than the loss from a payoff of 1 to 0. Selection should therefore lead individuals in low condition to take risk ( $x_{\rm L} \rightarrow 1$ ).
- c. The predictions made in 2b above are borne out when running individual based simulations (Fig.1).

## d. (i) See Fig. 2 Bottom.

(ii) To adapt the code to take into account normally distributed payoffs (Fig. 2 Top), we need to replace the resource function with the following piece of code:



Figure 1: Evolution of the average probabilities of choosing the risk-taking strategy when in low and high condition,  $x_{\rm L}$  (in red) and  $x_{\rm H}$  (in blue). The population is initially monomorphic for  $x_{\rm H} = x_{\rm L} = 0.5$ .



Figure 2: The top plot is the probability of receiving a payoff  $\pi_i$  when foraging with a safe strategy (continuous line) or with a risk-taking strategy (dashed line). Parameters suggested in the Exercise Sheet were employed. The bottom figure is the fecundities in high and low conditions,  $f_H$  (blue) and  $f_L$  (red), as a function of the payoff  $\pi_i$ .